Selected Topics of Pervasive Computing

Stephan Sigg

Georg-August-University Goettingen, Computer Networks

06.11.2013

Overview and Structure

30.10.2013	Organisational
30.10.3013	Introduction
06.11.2013	Classification methods (Basic recognition, Bayesian, Non-parametric)
13.11.2013	Classification methods (Linear discriminant, Neural networks)
20.11.2013	–
27.11.2013	–
04.12.2013	–
11.12.2013	Classification methods (Sequential, Stochastic)
18.12.2013	Activity Recognition (Basics, Applications, Algorithms, Metrics)
08.01.2014	Security from noisy data (Basics, Entity, F. Commitment, F. Extractors)
15.01.2014	Security from noisy data (Error correcting codes, PUFs, Applications)
22.01.2014	Context prediction (Algorithms, Applications)
29.01.2014	Networked Objects (Sensors and sensor networks, body area networks)
05.02.2014	Internet of Things (Sensors and Technology, vision and risks)

Outline

Pattern recognition vs. Machine learning

Pattern recognition vs. Machine learning



Pattern recognition vs. Machine learning




Pattern recognition
vs.
Machine learning
Features
training
application
feature subset-selection



- Mapping of features onto classes by using prior knowledge
- What are characteristic features?
- Which approaches are suitable to obtain these features?

Data sampling

- Record sufficient training data
  - Annotated! (Ground-truth)
  - Multiple subjects
  - Various environmental conditions (time of day, weather, ...)



Data sampling

- Record sufficient training data
  - Annotated! (Ground-truth)
  - Multiple subjects
  - Various environmental conditions (time of day, weather, ...)

Example

- Electric supply data over 15 years covers 5000 days but only 15 christmas days
- Especially critical events like accidents (e.g. plane, car, earthquake) are scarce



Feature subset-selection

- Pre-process data
  - Framing
  - Normalisation



Feature subset-selection

- Pre-process data
  - Framing
  - Normalisation

Domain knowledge?
-> better set of ad-hoc features

Features commensurate?
-> normalise

Pruning of input required?
-> if no, create disjunctive features or weithted sums of features

Independent features?
-> construct conjunctive features or products of features

Is the data noisy?
-> detect outlier examples

Do you know what to do first?
-> If not, use a linear predictor

Feature extraction

- Identify meaningful features
  - remove irrelevant/redundant features



Feature extraction

- Identify meaningful features
    - remove irrelevant/redundant features
- Features can be contradictory!



Feature subset-selection

Simple ranking of features with correlation coefficients

Example: Pearson Correlation Coefficient

$$\varrho(X, Y) = \frac{\mathrm{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(X)\mathrm{Var}(Y)}} \tag{1}$$

- Identifies linear relation between input variables x_i and an output y

Feature subset-selection

How to do reasonable feature selection

- Utilise dedicated test- and training- data-sets
- Pay attention that a single raw-data sample could not impact features in both these sets
- Don't train the features on the training- or test- data-set



Training of the classifier

A decision tree classifier



(a) (b)

Training of the classifier

Evaluation of classification performance

k-fold cross-validation

- Standard: k=10



Training of the classifier

Evaluation of classification performance

Leave-one-out cross-validation

- n-fold cross validation where n is the number of instances in the data-set
- Each instance is left out once and the algorithm is trained on the remaining instances
- Performance of left-out instance (success/failure)



Training of the classifier

Evaluation of classification performance

0.632 Bootstrap

- Form training set by choosing n instances from the data-set with replacement
- All not picked instances are used for testing
- Probability to pick a specific instance: $1-\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n} \approx 1-e^{-1} \approx 0.632$



Training of the classifier

Evaluation of classification performance

Classification accuracy

- Confusion matrices
- Precision
- Recall



	Classification							
	Aw	No	To	Sb	Sl	Sr	St	Σ
Aw	**52**		3	6	0	17	22	100
No		**436**	25	7	6	17	9	500
To		40	**59**				1	100
Sb	15	22		**32**	4	22	5	100
Sl	12	11	1	6	**48**	8	14	100
Sr	4	15		6	1	**67**	7	100
St	3	18	1	1	24	10	**43**	100
Σ	92	551	86	65	94	129	83	



	Classification							
	Aw	No	To	Sb	Sl	Sr	St	recall
Aw	**.58**	.09		.13	.11	.05	.04	.58
No		**.872**	.05	.014	.012	.034	.018	.872
To		.4	**.59**				.01	.59
Sb	.15	.22		**.32**	.04	.22	.05	.32
Sl	.12	.11	.01	.06	**.48**	.08	.14	.48
Sr	.04	.15		.06	.01	**.67**	.07	.67
St	.03	.18	.01	.01	.24	.1	**.43**	.43
prec	.630	.791	.686	.492	.511	.519	.518	

Training of the classifier

Evaluation of classification performance

Information score

Let C be the correct class of an instance and $\mathcal{P}(C)$, $\mathcal{P}'(C)$ be the prior and posterior probability of a classifier
We define:[1]

$$I_i = \begin{cases} -\log(\mathcal{P}(C)) + \log(\mathcal{P}'(C)) & \text{if } \mathcal{P}'(C) \geq \mathcal{P}(C) \\ -\log(1-\mathcal{P}(C)) + \log(1-\mathcal{P}'(C)) & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

The information score is then

$$\text{IS} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i \tag{3}$$

[1] I. Kononenko and I. Bratko: Information-Based Evaluation Criterion for Classifier's Performance, Machine Learning, 6, 67-80, 1991.

Training of the classifier

Evaluation of classification performance

Brier score

The Brier score is defined as

$$\text{Brier} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t(x_i) - p(x_i))^2 \tag{4}$$

where

$$t(x_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i \text{ is the correct class} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

and $p(x_i)$ is the probability the classifier assigned to the class x_i.

Training of the classifier

Evaluation of classification performance

Area under the receiver operated characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC)





Rank	Predicted	Actual Class
1	0.95	*yes*
2	0.93	*yes*
3	0.93	*no*
4	0.88	*yes*
5	0.86	*yes*
6	0.85	*yes*
7	0.82	*yes*
8	0.80	*yes*
9	0.80	*no*
10	0.79	*yes*
11	0.77	*no*
12	0.76	*yes*
13	0.73	*yes*
14	0.65	*no*
15	0.63	*yes*
16	0.58	*no*
17	0.56	*yes*
18	0.49	*no*
19	0.48	*yes*
...	...	...

Pattern recognition and classification

Data mining frameworks

- Orange Data Mining (http://orange.biolab.si/)
- Weka Data Mining (http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka/)

Pattern recognition and classification

- From features to context
  - Measure available data on features
  - Context reasoning by appropriate method
    - Syntactical (rule based – e.g. RuleML)
    - Bayesian classifier
    - Non-parametric
    - Linear discriminant
    - Neural networks
    - Sequential
    - Stochastic



Pattern recognition and classification

- Allocation of sensor value by defined function
  - Correlation of various data sources
  - Several methods possible – simple approaches
  - Template matching
  - Minimum distance methods
  - 'Integrated' feature extraction
    - Nearest Neighbour
    - Neural Networks
- Problem
  - Measured raw data might not allow to derive all features required
  - Therefore often combination of sensors


Not enough features


Sufficient feature count

Pattern recognition and classification

- Methods – Syntactical (Rule based)
  - Idea: Description of Situation by formal Symbols and Rules
  - Description of a (agreed on?) world view
  - Example: RuleML
- Comment
  - Pro:
    - Combination of rules and identification of loops and impossible conditions feasible

    Contra:
    - Very complex with more elaborate situations
    - Extension or merge of rule sets typically not possible without contradictions

Pattern recognition and classification

- Rule Markup Language: Language for publishing and sharing rules
- Hierarchy of rule-sub-languages (XML, RDF, XSLT, OWL)
- Example:
    - A meeting room was occupied by min 5 people for the last 10 minutes.

```
<Atom>
     <Rel> occupied </Rel>
     <Var> meeting room </Var>
     <Ind> min 5 persons </Ind>
     <Ind> last 10 minutes </Ind>
</Atom>
```



Pattern recognition and classification

- Also conditions can be modelled
  - A Meeting is taking place in a meeting room when it was occupied by min 5 people for the last 10 minutes.



```
<Implies>
  <head>
     <Atom>
          <Rel> meeting </Rel>
          <Var> meeting room </Var>
     </Atom>
  </head>
  <body>
     <Atom>
          <Rel> occupied </Rel>
          <Var> meeting room </Var>
          <Ind> min 5 persons </Ind>
          <Ind> last 10 minutes </Ind>
     </Atom>
  </body>
</Implies>
```

Pattern recognition and classification

- Logical combination of conditions
  - A Meeting is taking place in a meeting room when it was occupied by min 5 people for the last 10 minutes and the light is on.



```
<Implies>
   <head>
      <Atom>
         <Rel> meeting </Rel>
         <Var> meeting room </Var>
      </Atom>
   </head>
   <body>
      <And>
         <Atom>
            <Rel> on </Rel>
            <Var> light </Var>
         </Atom>
         <Atom>
            <Rel> occupied </Rel>
            <Var> meeting room </Var>
            <Ind> min 5 persons </Ind>
            <Ind> last 10 minutes </Ind>
         </Atom>
      </And>
   </body>
</Implies>
```

Outline

Recognition of patterns

Patterns can be described by a sufficient number of rules

Samples are inaccurate

Tremendous amount of rules to model all variations of one class

Therefore: Consider machine learning approaches



Recognition of patterns

Training set $x_1 \dots x_N$ of a large number of N samples is utilised

Classes $t_1 \dots t_N$ of all samples in this set known in advance

Machine learning algorithm computes a function $y(x)$ and generates a new target t‘



Polynomial curve fitting

Example

A curve shall be approximated by a machine learning approach

Sample points are created for the function $\sin(2\pi x) + \mathcal{N}$ where $\mathcal{N}$ is a random noise value



Polynomial curve fitting

We will try to fit the data points into a polynomial function:

$$y(x, \vec{w}) = w_0 + w_1 x + w_2 x^2 + \cdots + w_M x^M = \sum_{j=0}^{M} w_j x^j$$



Polynomial curve fitting

We will try to fit the data points into a polynomial function:

$$y(x, \overrightarrow{w}) = w_0 + w_1 x + w_2 x^2 + \cdots + w_M x^M = \sum_{j=0}^{M} w_j x^j$$

This can be obtained by minimising an error function that measures the misfit between $y(x, \overrightarrow{w})$ and the training data set:

$$E(\overrightarrow{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[y(x_i, \overrightarrow{w}) - t_i \right]^2$$

$E(\overrightarrow{w})$ is non-negative and zero if and only if all points are covered by the function

Polynomial curve fitting

One problem is the right choice of the dimension M

When M is too small, the approximation accuracy might be bad



Polynomial curve fitting

However, when M becomes too big, the resulting polynomial will cross all points exactly

When M reaches the count of samples in the training data set, it is always possible to create a polynomial of order M that contains all values in the data set exactly.



Polynomial curve fitting

This event is called overfitting

The polynomial now trained too well to the training data

It will therefore perform badly on another sample of test data for the same phenomenon

We visualise it by the Root of the Mean Square (RMS) of $E(\vec{w})$

$$E_{RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{2E(\vec{w})}{N}}$$





Polynomial curve fitting

With increasing number of data points, the problem of overfitting becomes less severe for a given value of M





Polynomial curve fitting

One solution to cope with overfitting is regularisation

A penalty term is added to the error function

This term discourages the coefficients of $\overrightarrow{w}$ from reaching large values

$$\overline{E}(\overrightarrow{w}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[y(x_i, \overrightarrow{w}) - t_i\right]^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}||\overrightarrow{w}||^2$$

with

$$||\overrightarrow{w}||^2 = \overrightarrow{w}^T\overrightarrow{w} = w_0^2 + w_1^2 + \cdots + w_M^2$$

Polynomial curve fitting

Depending on the value of λ, overfitting is controlled



$$\overline{E}(\overrightarrow{w}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[y(x_i,\overrightarrow{w}) - t_i\right]^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}||\overrightarrow{w}||^2$$

Outline

Bayesian decision theory

With probability theory, the probability of events can be estimated by repeatedly generating events and counting their occurrences

When, however, an event only very seldom occurs or is hard to generate, other methods are required

Example:

Probability that the Arctic ice cap will have disappeared by the end of this century

In such cases, we would like to model uncertainty

In fact, it is possible to represent uncertainty by probability

Conditional probability

Conditional probability

The conditional probability of two events χ_1 and χ_2 with $P(\chi_2) > 0$ is denoted by $P(\chi_1|\chi_2)$ and is calculated by

$$P(\chi_1|\chi_2) = \frac{P(\chi_1 \cap \chi_2)}{P(\chi_2)}$$

$P(\chi_1|\chi_2)$ describes the probability that event χ_2 occurs in the presence of event χ_2.

Bayesian decision theory

With the notion of conditional probability we can express the effect of observed data $\overrightarrow{t} = t_1, \ldots, t_N$ on a probability distribution of $\overrightarrow{w}$: $P(\overrightarrow{w})$.

Thomas Bayes described a way to evaluate the uncertainty of $\overrightarrow{w}$ after observing $\overrightarrow{t}$

$$P(\overrightarrow{w}|\overrightarrow{t}) = \frac{P(\overrightarrow{t}|\overrightarrow{w})P(\overrightarrow{w})}{P(\overrightarrow{t})}$$

$P(\overrightarrow{t}|\overrightarrow{w})$ expresses how probable a value for $\overrightarrow{t}$ is given a fixed choice of $\overrightarrow{w}$

Bayesian decision theory

A principle difference between Bayesian viewpoint and frequentist viewpoint is that prior assumptions are provided

Example:

Consider a fair coin that scores heads in three consecutive tosses

Classical maximum likelihood estimate will predict head for future tosses with probability 1

Bayesian approach includes prior assumptions on the probability of events and would result in a less extreme conclusion

Bayesian curve fitting

In the curve fitting problem, we are given $\overrightarrow{x}$ and $\overrightarrow{t}$ together with a new sample x_{M+1}

The task is to find a good estimation of the value t_{M+1}

This means that we want to evaluate the predictive distribution

$$p(t_{M+1}|x_{M+1}, \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{t})$$

To account for measurement inaccuracies, typically a probability distribution (e.g. Gauss) is underlying the sample vector $\overrightarrow{x}$

Bayesian curve fitting

This means that we want to evaluate the predictive distribution

$$p(t_{M+1}|x_{M+1}, \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{t})$$

After consistent application of the sum and product rules of probability we can rewrite this as

$$p(t_{M+1}|x_{M+1}, \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{t}) = \int p(t_{M+1}|x_{M+1}, \overrightarrow{w})p(\overrightarrow{w}|\overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{t})d\overrightarrow{w}$$

Bayesian curve fitting



Example

	SPRINKLER	
RAIN	T	F
F	0.4	0.6
T	0.01	0.99



RAIN	
T	F
0.2	0.8

		GRASS WET	
SPRINKLER	RAIN	T	F
F	F	0.0	1.0
F	T	0.8	0.2
T	F	0.9	0.1
T	T	0.99	0.01

Outline

Histogram methods

Alternative approach to function estimation: histogram methods

In general, the probability density of an event is estimated by dividing the range of N values into bins of size Δ_i

Then, count the number of observations that fall inside bin Δ_i

This is expressed as a normalised probability density

$$p_i = \frac{n_i}{N\Delta_i}$$



Histogram methods

Accuracy of the estimation is dependent on the width of the bins

Approach well suited for big data since the data items can be discarded once the histogram is created



Histogram methods

Issues:

Due to the edges of the bins, the modelled distribution is characterised by discontinuities not present in the underlying distribution observed

The method does not scale well with increasing dimension (Curse of dimensionality)



Parzen estimator methods

Assume an unknown probability density $p(\cdot)$

We want to estimate the probability density $p(\overrightarrow{x})$ of $\overrightarrow{x}$ in a $\mathcal{D}$-dimensional Euclidean space

We consider a small region $\mathcal{R}$ around $\overrightarrow{x}$:

$$P = \int_{\mathcal{R}} p(\overrightarrow{x}) d\overrightarrow{x}$$

Parzen estimator methods

We utilise a data set of N observations

Each observation has a probability of P to fall inside $\mathcal{R}$

With the binomial distribution we can calculate the count K of points falling into $\mathcal{R}$:

$$\mathrm{Bin}(K|N,P) = \frac{N!}{K!(N-K)!}P^K(1-P)^{N-K}$$

Parzen estimator methods

We utilise a data set of N observations

Each observation has a probability of P to fall inside $\mathcal{R}$

With the binomial distribution we can calculate the count K of points falling into $\mathcal{R}$:

$$\mathrm{Bin}(K|N,P) = \frac{N!}{K!(N-K)!}P^K(1-P)^{N-K}$$

For large N we can show

$$K \approx NP$$

With sufficiently small $\mathcal{R}$ we can also show for the volume V of $\mathcal{R}$

$$P \approx p(\overrightarrow{x})V$$

Therefore, we can estimate the density as

$$p(\overrightarrow{x}) = \frac{K}{NV}$$

Parzen estimator methods

We assume that $\mathcal{R}$ is a small hypercube

In order to count the number K of points that fall inside $\mathcal{R}$ we define

$$k(\overrightarrow{u}) = \begin{cases} 1, & |u_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, D, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This represents a unit cube centred around the origin

This function is an example of a kernel-function or Parzen window

Parzen estimator methods

$$k(\overrightarrow{u}) = \begin{cases} 1, & |u_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, D, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

When the measured data point $\overrightarrow{x_n}$ lies inside a cube of side h centred around $\overrightarrow{x}$, we have

$$k\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{x} - \overrightarrow{x_n}}{h}\right) = 1$$

The total count K of points that fall inside this cube is

$$K = \sum_{n=1}^{N} k\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{x} - \overrightarrow{x_n}}{h}\right)$$

Parzen estimator methods

The total count K of points that fall inside this cube is

$$K = \sum_{n=1}^{N} k\left(\frac{\vec{x} - \vec{x_n}}{h}\right)$$

When we substitute this in the density estimate derived above

$$p(\vec{x}) = \frac{K}{NV}$$

with volume $V = h^D$ we obtain the overall density estimate as

$$p(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{1}{h^D}\left(\frac{\vec{x} - \vec{x_n}}{h}\right)$$

Parzen estimator methods

$$p(\overrightarrow{x}) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{1}{h^D}\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{x} - \overrightarrow{x_n}}{h}\right)$$

Again, this density estimator suffers from artificial discontinuities

(Due to the fixed boundaries of the cubes)

Problem can be overcome by choosing a smoother kernel function

(A common choice is a Gaussian kernel with a standard deviation σ)

$$p(\overrightarrow{x}) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{D}{2}}}e^{-\frac{||\overrightarrow{x}-\overrightarrow{x_n}||^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Parzen estimator methods

Density estimation for various values of σ



Nearest neighbour methods

A problem with Parzen estimator methods is that the parameter governing the kernel width (h or σ) is fixed for all values $\vec{x}$

In regions with

...high density, a wide kernel might lead to over-smoothing
...low density, the same width may lead to noisy estimates



Nearest neighbour methods

NN-methods address this by adapting width to data density

Parzen estimator methods fix V and determine K from the data
Nearest neighbour methods fix K and choose V accordingly

Again, we consider a point $\overrightarrow{x}$ and estimate the density $p(\overrightarrow{x})$

The radius of the sphere is increased until K data points (the nearest neighbours) are covered

Nearest neighbour methods

The value K then controls the amount of smoothing

Again, an optimum value for K exists



Nearest neighbour methods

Classification: Apply KNN-density estimation for each class

Assume data set of N points with N_k points in class C_k

To classify sample $\overrightarrow{x}$, draw a sphere containing K points around $\overrightarrow{x}$

Sphere can contain other points regardless of their class

Assume sphere has volume V and contains K_k points from C_k

Nearest neighbour methods

Assume: Sphere of volume V contains K_k points from class C_k

We estimate the density of class C_k as

$$p(\overrightarrow{x}|C_k) = \frac{K_k}{N_k V}$$

The unconditional density is given as

$$p(\overrightarrow{x}) = \frac{K}{NV}$$

The probability to experience a class C_k is given as

$$p(C_k) = \frac{N_k}{N}$$

With Bayes theorem we can combine this to achieve

$$p(C_k|\overrightarrow{x}) = \frac{p(\overrightarrow{x}|C_k)p(C_k)}{p(\overrightarrow{x})} = \frac{K_k}{K}$$

Nearest neighbour methods

$$p(C_k|\overrightarrow{x}) = \frac{p(\overrightarrow{x}|C_k)p(C_k)}{p(\overrightarrow{x})} = \frac{K_k}{K}$$

To minimise the probability of misclassification, assign $\overrightarrow{x}$ to class with the largest probability

This corresponds to the largest value of

$$\frac{K_k}{K}$$

Nearest neighbour methods

To classify a point, we identify the K nearest points

And assign the point to the class having most representatives in this set

Choice $K = 1$ is called nearest neighbour rule

For this choice, the error rate is never more than twice the minimum achievable error rate of an optimum classifier[2]

[2] T. Cover and P. Hart: Nearest neighbour pattern classification. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, IT-11, 21-27, 1967

Nearest neighbour methods

Classification of points by the K-nearest neighbour classifier





Nearest neighbour methods

Classification of points by the K-nearest neighbour classifier



Nearest neighbour methods

The KNN-method and the Parzen-method are not well suited for large data sets since they require the entire data set to be stored

Outline

Support vector machines (SVM)

In classification we assign $\vec{x}$ to one of K discrete classes C_k

The input is divided by decision boundaries

Here we assume that decision boundaries are linear functions of $\vec{x}$

Data separable by linear decision surfaces are linear separable

With high dimension, a set of two classes is always linear separable



Support vector machines (SVM)


$\mathbf{R}^2$
input space
x_1 x_2
$\Phi: \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$
x_1^2 x_2^2 $\sqrt{2}\, x_1 x_2$
feature space
w_1 w_2 w_3
$f(x)$
$f(x) = \mathrm{sgn}\,(w_1 x_1^2 + w_2 x_2^2 + w_3 \sqrt{2}\, x_1 x_2 + b)$
Φ
$\mathbf{R}^3$
$\mathbf{R}^2$

Support vector machines (SVM)

SVM pre-processes data to represent patterns in a high dimension

Dimension often much higher than original feature space

Then, insert hyperplane in order to separate the data



Support vector machines (SVM)

A pattern $\overrightarrow{x_k}$ is transformed to $\overrightarrow{y_k} = \varphi(\overrightarrow{x_k})$

Also, each $\overrightarrow{x_k}$ is associated with $z_k \in \{-1, 1\}$

A linear discriminant in an augmented $\overrightarrow{y}$ space is $g(\overrightarrow{y}) = \overrightarrow{a}^t \overrightarrow{y}$

A separating hyperplane ensures for $y_0 = 1, a_0 \geq 1$

$$z_k g(y_k) \geq 1$$



Support vector machines (SVM)

The goal for support vector machines is to find a separating hyperplane with the largest margin b to the outer points in all sets

$$\frac{z_k g(y_k)}{||\overrightarrow{a}||} \geq b, \;\; k = 1, \ldots, n$$

If no such hyperplane exists, map all points into a higher dimensional space until such a plane exists

Support vectors satisfy '$\cdot = b$'



Support vector machines (SVM)

Simple application to several classes by iterative approach:

belongs to class 1 or not?

belongs to class 2 or not?

...

Search for optimum mapping between input space and feature space complicated (no optimum approach known)



Support vector machines (SVM)

Simple learning approach to find the correct hyperplane:

Starting from an initial separating hyperplane

Find worst classified pattern (on the wrong side of the hyperplane)

Design a new hyperplane with this pattern as one of the support vectors

Iterate until all patterns are correctly classified



Outline

Neural networks

Learn mapping from input to output vector

Representation by edge-weighted graph

Distinction between

- Input neurons
- Output neurons
- Hidden nodes



Neural networks



Neural networks

Input neurons are only equipped with outgoing edges

Hidden nodes ‘fire‘ (output value 1) when weighted inputs exceed threshold function Θ



$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1, & if \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i w_i \geq \Theta \\ 0, & else. \end{cases}$$

Neural networks

Learning with back-propagation (schematic):
(Iterate until the error is sufficiently small)

1. Choose a training-pair and copy it to the input layer
2. Propagate it through the network
3. Calculate error between computed and expected output
4. Propagate the sum product of the weights back into the network in order to calculate the error in internal layers
5. Adapt weights to the error




$w'_{46} = w_{46} + \eta\delta \frac{df_6(e)}{de} y_4$
$w'_{56} = w_{56} + \eta\delta \frac{df_6(e)}{de} y_5$
x_1
x_2
$f_1(e)$
$f_2(e)$
$f_3(e)$
$f_4(e)$
$f_5(e)$
$f_6(e)$
w'_{46}
w'_{56}
δ
y

Neural networks

Single hidden layer sufficient to represent arbitrary multi-dimensional functions

Well suited for noisy input data

Implicit clustering of input data possible

Complex to extend network (e.g. add new features)



Neural networks

For the input layer, we construct M linear combinations of the input variables $x_1, \dots, x_D$ and weights $w_1, \dots, w_D$

$$a_j = \sum_{i=1}^{D} w_{ji}^{(1)} x_i + w_{j0}^{(1)}$$

Each a_j is transformed using a differentiable, non-linear activation function

$$z_j = h(a_j)$$

Neural networks

Input layer M linear combinations of $x_1, \ldots, x_D$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_D$

$$a_j = \sum_{i=1}^{D} w_{ji}^{(1)} x_i + w_{j0}^{(1)}$$

Activation function: Differentiable, non-linear

$$z_j = h(a_j)$$

$h(\cdot)$ function is usually a sigmoidal function or tanh



Neural networks

Values z_j are again linearly combined in hidden layers:

$$a_k = \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_{kj}^{(2)} z_j + w_{k0}^{(2)}$$

with $k = 1, \ldots, K$ describing the total number of outputs

Again, these values are transformed using a sufficient transformation function σ to obtain the network outputs y_k

$$y_k = \sigma(a_k)$$

For multi-class problems, we use a function such as

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}}$$

Neural networks

Combine these stages to achieve overall network function:

$$y_k(\overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{w}) = \sigma\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} w_{kj}^{(2)} h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{D} w_{ji}^{(1)} x_i + w_{j0}^{(1)}\right) + w_{k0}^{(2)}\right)$$

(Multiple hidden layers are added analogously)

Neural networks

Activation functions of hidden units are linear ⇒ Always find equivalent network without hidden units

(Composition of successive linear transformations itself linear transformation)



Neural networks

Number of hidden units $<$ number of input or output units $\Rightarrow$ not all linear functions possible

(Information lost in dimensionality reduction at hidden units)



Neural networks

Neural networks are Universal approximators[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]
$\Rightarrow$ 2-layer linear NN can approximate any continuous function

[3] K. Funahashi: On the approximate realisation of continuous mappings by neural networks, Neural Networks, 2(3), 183-192, 1989

[4] G. Cybenko: Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function. Mathematics of control, signals and systems, 2, 304-314, 1989

[5] K. Hornik, M. Sinchcombe, H. White: Multilayer feed-forward networks are universal approximators. Neural Networks, 2(5), 359-366, 1989

[6] N.E. Cotter: The stone-Weierstrass theorem and its application to neural networks. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks 1(4), 290-295, 1990

[7] Y. Ito: Representation of functions by superpositions of a step or sigmoid function and their applications to neural network theory. Neural Networks 4(3), 385-394, 1991

[8] K. Hornik: Approximation capabilities of multilayer feed forward networks: Neural Networks, 4(2), 251-257, 1991

[9] Y.V. Kreinovich: Arbitrary non-linearity is sufficient to represent all functions by neural networks: a theorem. Neural Networks 4(3), 381-383, 1991

[10] B.D. Ripley: Pattern Recognition and Neural Networks. Cambridge University Press, 1996

Neural networks

Remaining issue in neural networks

- Find suitable parameters given a set of training data
- Several learning approaches have been proposed



Neural networks

Simple approach to determine network parameters: Minimise sum-of-squared error function

- Given a training set $\overrightarrow{x_n}$ with $n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$
- And corresponding target vectors $\overrightarrow{t_n}$
- Minimise the error function

$$E(\overrightarrow{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y(\overrightarrow{x_n}, \overrightarrow{w}) - \overrightarrow{t_n})^2$$

Neural networks – Classification

2 classes $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$

- We consider a network with a single output

$$y = \sigma(a) \equiv \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}}$$

- Output interpreted as conditional probability $p(\mathcal{C}_1|\overrightarrow{x})$
- Analogously, we have $p(\mathcal{C}_2|\overrightarrow{x}) = 1 - p(\mathcal{C}_1|\overrightarrow{x})$

K classes $\mathcal{C}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_K$

- Binary target variables $t_k \in \{0,1\}$
- Network outputs are interpreted as $y_k(\overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{w}) = p(t_k = 1|\overrightarrow{x})$

Introduction to self organising maps (SOM)

Proposed by Teuvo Kohonen[11]

As a model of the self-organisation of neural connections

Maps high dimensional input to low dimensional output

Based on neural network learning of the underlying mapping



Introduction to self organising maps

Present all points in a source space by points in a target space

Given a sequence of points in a sample space,

Create a mapping of these points into a target space that respects the neighbourhood relation in the sample space



Introduction to self organising maps



SOM is a topology preserving lattice of predefined number of nodes

Represents topology of elements in input space.

Algorithm inherits self-organisation property

- Able to produce organisation starting from total disorder.
- Defines and preserves neighbourhood structure between nodes

Learning by two layer neural network

Introduction to self organising maps



Introduction to self organising maps



When a pattern $\overrightarrow{\phi_i}$ is presented, each node (represented by outer neurons) in the target space computes its activation $\overrightarrow{\phi}_i^t \overrightarrow{w}$.

Most activated node y^* and weights to its neighbours are updated according to a learning rate $\rho(t)$

$$w_{ki}(t+1) = w_{ki}(t) + \rho(t)\Lambda(|y - y^*|)(\overrightarrow{\phi_i} - w_{ki}(t))$$

$\Lambda(\cdot)$ defines a non-increasing neighbourhood function and $|y - y^*|$ describes the distance of nodes in the neighbourhood

SOM – Self organisation



SOM – Definition

Condensed definition of SOM from Cottrell et al.[12]

Self organising maps

- Let $I = \{\overrightarrow{\eta_1}, \dots, \overrightarrow{\eta_{|S|}}\}$ be a set of *km*-dimensional vectors that are associated with nodes in a lattice.
- Neighbourhood structure provided by symmetrical neighbourhood function $d : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which depends on the distance between two nodes $\overrightarrow{\eta_i}$ and $\overrightarrow{\eta_j} \in I$.
- State of the map at time t given by

$$\eta(t) = \left(\overrightarrow{\eta_1(t)}, \overrightarrow{\eta_2(t)}, \dots, \overrightarrow{\eta_{|S|}(t)}\right),$$

[12] M. Cottrell, J.C. Fort and G. Pages, *Theoretical aspects of the SOM algorithm*, Neurocomputing, pp. 119-138, vol 21, 1998.

SOM – Definition

Self organising map algorithm

The SOM algorithm is recursively defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_c\left(\overrightarrow{v(t+1)}, \overrightarrow{\eta(t)}\right) &= argmin\left\{\left\|\overrightarrow{v(t+1)} - \overrightarrow{\eta_i(t)}\right\|, \overrightarrow{\eta_i(t)} \in \eta(t)\right\}, \\
\overrightarrow{\eta_i(t+1)} &= \overrightarrow{\eta_i(t)} - \varepsilon_t d\left[i_c\left(\overrightarrow{v(t+1)}, \overrightarrow{\eta(t)}\right), \overrightarrow{\eta_i}\right] \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\overrightarrow{\eta_i(t)} - \overrightarrow{v(t+1)}\right), \forall \overrightarrow{\eta_i} \in I.
\end{aligned}
$$

In this formula, $i_c\left(\overrightarrow{v(t+1)}, \overrightarrow{\eta(t)}\right)$ corresponds to the node in the network that is closest to the input vector.

Parameter ε_t controls the adaptability.

SOM – Operational principle



Input values $v_i(t)$ are to be mapped onto the target space

SOM – Operational principle


Inputs
v1(t) v3(t) v5(t) v8(t) v2(t) v4(t) v6(t) v9(t) v7(t) v0(t)
η1 η2 η3 η4 η5 η6 η7 η8 η9 η10 η11 η12

Node with the lowest distance is associated with the input value:

$$i_c\left(\overrightarrow{v(t+1)}, \overrightarrow{\eta(t)}\right) = argmin\left\{\left\|\overrightarrow{v(t+1)} - \overrightarrow{\eta_i(t)}\right\|, \overrightarrow{\eta_i(t)} \in \eta(t)\right\}$$

SOM – Operational principle


Neighbourhood
Inputs
$v_1(t)$ $v_2(t)$ $v_3(t)$ $v_4(t)$ $v_5(t)$ $v_6(t)$ $v_7(t)$ $v_8(t)$ $v_9(t)$ $v_0(t)$
η_1 η_2 η_3 η_4 η_5 η_6 η_7 η_8 η_9 η_{10} η_{11} η_{12}

Nodes in the neighbourhood of the associated node are moved closer to the input value

SOM – Operational principle



Nodes in the neighbourhood of the associated node are moved to the input value

$$\begin{aligned}\overrightarrow{\eta_i(t+1)} &= \overrightarrow{\eta_i(t)} - \varepsilon_t d\left[i_c\left(\overrightarrow{v(t+1)}, \overrightarrow{\eta(t)}\right), \overrightarrow{\eta_i}\right] \\ &\cdot \left(\overrightarrow{\eta_i(t)} - \overrightarrow{v(t+1)}\right), \forall \overrightarrow{\eta_i} \in I.\end{aligned}$$

SOM – Example application: TEA



SOM – Example application: TEA



SOM – Remarks

SOM algorithm always converges[13]

Normalisation of input vectors might improve numerical accuracy

Not guaranteed that self-optimisation will always occur
(Dependent on choice of parameters)

Difficult to set parameters of the model since SOM is not optimising any well-defined function[14]

If neighbourhood is chosen to be too small, the map will not be ordered globally

[13] Y. Cheng, *Neural Computation*, 9(8), 1997.

[14] E. Erwin, K. Obermayer, K. Schulten: Self-organising maps: Ordering, convergence properties and energy functions. Biological Cybernetics, 67, 47-55, 1992

Problems of SOMs



Map created as target space might have several orientations

One part of the map might follow one orientation, while other parts are following other orientations

Outline