Network Security - Part I

Computer Networks, Winter 2014/2015

Chapter 7: Network Security

Chapter goals:

- understand principles of network security:
  - cryptography and its *many* uses beyond "confidentiality"
  - authentication
  - message integrity
- security in practice:
  - firewalls and intrusion detection systems
  - security in application, transport, network, link layers

Chapter 7 roadmap

7.1 What is network security?

7.2 Principles of cryptography

7.3 Message integrity

7.4 End point authentication

7.5 Securing e-mail

7.6 Securing TCP connections: SSL

7.7 Network layer security: IPsec

7.8 Securing wireless LANs

7.9 Operational security: firewalls and IDS

What is network security?

Confidentiality: only sender, intended receiver should "understand" message contents

- sender encrypts message
- receiver decrypts message

Authentication: sender, receiver want to confirm identity of each other

Message integrity: sender, receiver want to ensure message not altered (in transit, or afterwards) without detection

Access and availability: services must be accessible and available to users

Friends and enemies: Alice, Bob, Trudy

- well-known in network security world
- Bob, Alice (lovers!) want to communicate “securely”
- Trudy (intruder) may intercept, delete, add messages



Who might Bob, Alice be?

- … well, *real-life* Bobs and Alices!
- Web browser/server for electronic transactions (e.g., on-line purchases)
- on-line banking client/server
- DNS servers
- routers exchanging routing table updates
- other examples?

There are bad guys (and girls) out there!

Q: What can a "bad guy" do?

A: a lot!

- *eavesdrop:* intercept messages
- actively *insert* messages into connection
- *impersonation:* can fake (spoof) source address in packet (or any field in packet)
- *hijacking:* "take over" ongoing connection by removing sender or receiver, inserting himself in place
- *denial of service*: prevent service from being used by others (e.g., by overloading resources)

more on this later ……

Chapter 7 roadmap

7.1 What is network security?
7.2 Principles of cryptography
7.3 Message integrity
7.4 End point authentication
7.5 Securing e-mail
7.6 Securing TCP connections: SSL
7.7 Network layer security: IPsec
7.8 Securing wireless LANs
7.9 Operational security: firewalls and IDS

The language of cryptography



symmetric key crypto: sender, receiver keys *identical*

public-key crypto: encryption key *public*, decryption key *secret* (private)

Symmetric key cryptography

substitution cipher: substituting one thing for another

- monoalphabetic cipher: substitute one letter for another

```
 plaintext:  abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz

ciphertext:  mnbvcxzasdfghjklpoiuytrewq
```

E.g.:
```
Plaintext: bob. i love you. alice
ciphertext: nkn. s gktc wky. mgsbc
```

Q: How hard to break this simple cipher?:

- brute force (how hard?)
- other?

Symmetric key cryptography



symmetric key crypto: Bob and Alice share know same (symmetric) key: K_{A-B}

- e.g., key is knowing substitution pattern in mono alphabetic substitution cipher
- Q: how do Bob and Alice agree on key value?

Symmetric key crypto: DES

DES: Data Encryption Standard

- US encryption standard [NIST 1993]
- 56-bit symmetric key, 64-bit plaintext input
- How secure is DES?
  - DES Challenge: 56-bit-key-encrypted phrase ("Strong cryptography makes the world a safer place") decrypted (brute force) in 4 months
  - no known "backdoor" decryption approach
- making DES more secure:
  - use three keys sequentially (3-DES) on each datum
  - use cipher-block chaining

Symmetric key crypto: DES

DES operation

initial permutation

16 identical "rounds" of function application, each using different 48 bits of key

final permutation



AES: Advanced Encryption Standard

- new (Nov. 2001) symmetric-key NIST standard, replacing DES
- processes data in 128 bit blocks
- 128, 192, or 256 bit keys
- brute force decryption (try each key) taking 1 sec on DES, takes 149 trillion years for AES

Block Cipher



- one pass through: one input bit affects eight output bits

- multiple passes: each input bit afects all output bits
- block ciphers: DES, 3DES, AES

Cipher Block Chaining

- cipher block: if input block repeated, will produce same cipher text:



- *cipher block chaining:* XOR ith input block, m(i), with previous block of cipher text, c(i-1)
  - c(0) transmitted to receiver in clear
  - what happens in "HTTP/1.1" scenario from above?



Public key cryptography



symmetric key crypto:

- requires sender, receiver know shared secret key
- Q: how to agree on key in first place (particularly if never "met")?

public key cryptography:

- radically different approach [Diffie-Hellman76, RSA78]
- sender, receiver do *not* share secret key
- *public* encryption key known to *all*
- *private* decryption key known only to receiver

Public key cryptography



Public key encryption algorithms

Requirements:

1. need $K_B^+(\cdot)$ and $K_B^-(\cdot)$ such that

$$K_B^-(K_B^+(m)) = m$$

2. given public key K_B^+, it should be impossible to compute private key K_B^-

RSA: Rivest, Shamir, Adleman algorithm

RSA: Choosing keys

1. Choose two large prime numbers *p, q.* (e.g., 1024 bits each)

2. Compute $n = pq$, $z = (p-1)(q-1)$

3. Choose *e* (with $e<n$) that has no common factors with z. (*e, z* are "relatively prime").

4. Choose *d* such that $ed-1$ is exactly divisible by *z*. (in other words: $ed \bmod z = 1$).

5. *Public* key is (n,e). *Private* key is (n,d).

$\underbrace{(n,e)}_{K_B^+}$ $\underbrace{(n,d)}_{K_B^-}$

RSA: Encryption, decryption

0. Given (n,e) and (n,d) as computed above

1. To encrypt bit pattern, m, compute

   $c = m^e \bmod n$ (i.e., remainder when m^e is divided by n)

2. To decrypt received bit pattern, c, compute

   $m = c^d \bmod n$ (i.e., remainder when c^d is divided by n)

Magic happens!

$$m = (\underbrace{m^e \bmod n}_{c})^d \bmod n$$

RSA example:

Bob chooses *p=5, q=7*. Then *n=35, z=24*.

e=5 (so *e, z* relatively prime).

d=29 (so *ed-1* exactly divisible by z.

	letter	m	m^e	$c = m^e \bmod n$
encrypt:	l	12	1524832	17

	c	c^d	$m = c^d \bmod n$	letter
decrypt:	17	481968572106750915091411825223071697	12	l

RSA: Why is that $m = (m^e \bmod n)^d \bmod n$

Useful number theory result: If p,q prime and $n = pq$, then:

$$x^y \bmod n = x^{y \bmod (p-1)(q-1)} \bmod n$$

$$(m^e \bmod n)^d \bmod n = m^{ed} \bmod n$$

$$= m^{ed \bmod (p-1)(q-1)} \bmod n$$

(using number theory result above)

$$= m^1 \bmod n$$

(since we chose ed to be divisible by $(p-1)(q-1)$ with remainder 1)

$$= m$$

RSA: another important property

The following property will be *very* useful later:

$$K_B^-(K_B^+(m)) = m = K_B^+(K_B^-(m))$$

use public key first, followed by private key

use private key first, followed by public key

Result is the same!

Chapter 7 roadmap

Message Integrity

Bob receives msg from Alice, wants to ensure:

- message originally came from Alice
- message not changed since sent by Alice

Cryptographic Hash:

- takes input m, produces fixed length value, H(m)
  - e.g., as in Internet checksum
- computationally infeasible to find two different messages, x, y such that H(x) = H(y)
  - equivalently: given m = H(x), (x unknown), can not determine x.
  - note: Internet checksum *fails* this requirement!

Internet checksum: poor crypto hash function

Internet checksum has some properties of hash function:

✓ produces fixed length digest (16-bit sum) of message

✓ is many-to-one

But given message with given hash value, it is *easy* to find another message with same hash value:

message	ASCII format
I O U 1	49 4F 55 31
0 0 . 9	30 30 2E 39
9 B O B	39 42 4F 42
	B2 C1 D2 AC

message	ASCII format
I O U 9	49 4F 55 39
0 0 . 1	30 30 2E 31
9 B O B	39 42 4F 42
	B2 C1 D2 AC

different messages but identical checksums!

Message Authentication Code



MACs in practice

- MD5 hash function widely used (RFC 1321)
  - computes 128-bit MAC in 4-step process.
  - arbitrary 128-bit string x, appears difficult to construct msg m whose MD5 hash is equal to x
    - recent (2005) attacks on MD5
- SHA-1 is also used
  - US standard [NIST, FIPS PUB 180-1]
  - 160-bit MAC

Digital Signatures

cryptographic technique analogous to hand-written signatures.

- sender (Bob) digitally signs document, establishing he is document owner/creator.
- verifiable, nonforgeable: recipient (Alice) can prove to someone that Bob, and no one else (including Alice), must have signed document

Digital Signatures

simple digital signature for message m:

- Bob "signs" m by encrypting with his private key K_B^-, creating "signed" message, $K_B^-(m)$



Digital Signatures (more)

- suppose Alice receives msg m, digital signature $K_B^-(m)$
- Alice verifies m signed by Bob by applying Bob's public key K_B^+ to $K_B^-(m)$ then checks $K_B^+(K_B^-(m)) = m$.
- if $K_B^+(K_B^-(m)) = m$, whoever signed m must have used Bob's private key.

Alice thus verifies that:

- ✓ Bob signed m.
- ✓ No one else signed m.
- ✓ Bob signed m and not m'.

non-repudiation:

- ✓ Alice can take m, and signature $K_B^-(m)$ to court and prove that Bob signed m.

Digital signature = signed MAC



Public Key Certification

public key problem:

- When Alice obtains Bob's public key (from web site, e-mail, disk), how does she *know* it is Bob's public key, not Trudy's?

solution:

- trusted certification authority (CA)

Certification Authorities

- Certification Authority (CA): binds public key to particular entity, E.
- E registers its public key with CA.
  - E provides "proof of identity" to CA.
  - CA creates certificate binding E to its public key.
  - certificate containing E's public key digitally signed by CA: CA says "This is E's public key."



Certification Authorities

- when Alice wants Bob's public key:
  - gets Bob's certificate (Bob or elsewhere).
  - apply CA's public key to Bob's certificate, get Bob's public key



A certificate contains:

- Serial number (unique to issuer)
- info about certificate owner, including algorithm and key value itself (not shown)



- info about certificate issuer
- valid dates
- digital signature by issuer

Chapter 7 roadmap

Authentication

Goal: Bob wants Alice to “prove” her identity to him

Protocol ap1.0: Alice says “I am Alice”

Failure scenario??

Authentication

Goal: Bob wants Alice to “prove” her identity to him

<u>Protocol ap1.0:</u> Alice says “I am Alice”



in a network,
Bob can not “see” Alice,
so Trudy simply
declares
herself to be Alice

Authentication: another try

Protocol ap2.0: Alice says "I am Alice" in an IP packet containing her source IP address

Failure scenario??

Authentication: another try

Protocol ap2.0: Alice says "I am Alice" in an IP packet containing her source IP address



Trudy can create a packet "spoofing" Alice's address

Authentication: another try

Protocol ap3.0: Alice says “I am Alice” and sends her secret password to “prove” it.



Failure scenario??

Authentication: another try

<u>Protocol ap3.0:</u> Alice says "I am Alice" and sends her secret password to "prove" it.



playback attack: Trudy records Alice's packet and later plays it back to Bob

Authentication: yet another try

Protocol ap3.1: Alice says "I am Alice" and sends her *encrypted* secret password to "prove" it.



Failure scenario??

Authentication: another try

Protocol ap3.1: Alice says "I am Alice" and sends her *encrypted* secret password to "prove" it.



record and playback still works!

Authentication: yet another try

Goal: avoid playback attack

Nonce: number (R) used only *once –in-a-lifetime*

ap4.0: to prove Alice “live”, Bob sends Alice a nonce, R. Alice must return R, encrypted with shared secret key



Alice is live, and only Alice knows key to encrypt nonce, so it must be Alice!

Failures, drawbacks?

Authentication: ap5.0

ap4.0 requires shared symmetric key

- can we authenticate using public key techniques?

ap5.0: use nonce, public key cryptography



Bob computes

$$K_A^+(K_A^-(R)) = R$$

and knows only Alice could have the private key, that encrypted R such that

$$K_A^+(K_A^-(R)) = R$$

ap5.0: security hole

Man (woman) in the middle attack: Trudy poses as Alice (to Bob) and as Bob (to Alice)



ap5.0: security hole

Man (woman) in the middle attack: Trudy poses as Alice (to Bob) and as Bob (to Alice)



Difficult to detect:

- Bob receives everything that Alice sends, and vice versa. (e.g., so Bob, Alice can meet one week later and recall conversation)
- problem is that Trudy receives all messages as well!