Data Link Layer – Part II



CRC Example

Want:

 $D \cdot 2^r XOR R = nG$


equivalently:

 $D \cdot 2^r = nG XOR R$

equivalently:

if we divide D[.]2^r by G, want remainder R

R = remainder[
$$\frac{D \cdot 2^r}{G}$$
]





Link Layer

- 2.1 Introduction and services
- 2.2 Error detection and correction
- 2.3 Multiple access protocols
- 2.4 Link-layer
 Addressing
- o 2.5 Ethernet


- 2.6 Link-layer switches
- 2.7 PPP
- 2.8 Wireless links / Wi-Fi
- 2.9 Link Virtualization: ATM, MPLS



Hubs

... physical-layer ("dumb") repeaters:

- bits coming in one link go out all other links at same rate
- all nodes connected to hub can collide with one another
- no frame buffering
- no CSMA/CD at hub: host NICs detect collisions






Switch

- link-layer device: smarter than hubs, take active role
 - store, forward Ethernet frames
 - examine incoming frame's MAC address, selectively forward frame to one-or-more outgoing links when frame is to be forwarded on segment, uses CSMA/CD to access segment
- o transparent
 - hosts are unaware of presence of switches
- o plug-and-play, self-learning
 - switches do not need to be configured



Switch: allows *multiple* simultaneous transmissions

- hosts have dedicated, direct connection to switch
- switches buffer packets
- Ethernet protocol used on each incoming link, but no collisions; full duplex
 - each link is its own collision domain
- switching: A-to-A' and B-to-B' simultaneously, without collisions
 - not possible with dumb hub




switch with six interfaces (1,2,3,4,5,6)



Switch Table

- Q: how does switch know that
 A' reachable via interface 4, B' reachable via interface 5?
- A: each switch has a switch table, each entry:
 - (MAC address of host, interface to reach host, time stamp)
- Q: how are entries created, maintained in switch table?
 - o something like a routing protocol?




switch with six interfaces (1,2,3,4,5,6)



Switch: self-learning

- switch *learns* which hosts
 can be reached through
 which interfaces
 - when frame received, switch "learns" location of sender: incoming LAN segment
 - records sender/location pair in switch table



MAC addr	interface	TTL
A	1	60

Switch table (initially empty)

Switch: frame filtering/forwarding

When frame received:

- 1. record link associated with sending host
- 2. index switch table using MAC dest address
- 3. if entry found for destination
 then {
 - if dest on segment from which frame arrived then drop the frame

else forward the frame on interface indicated


} else flood,

forward on all but the interface on which the frame arrived



Self-learning, forwarding: example

- frame destination unknown: flood
- destination A location known: selective send




Source: A Dest: A' C' B A A' A'



Switch table

Interconnecting switches


 $_{\odot}\,$ switches can be connected together



- Q: sending from A to G how does S_1 know to forward frame destined to F via S_4 and S_3 ?
- A: self learning! (works exactly the same as in singleswitch case!)




Institutional network (e.g. GöNet)





Switches vs. Routers

- both store-and-forward devices
 - routers: network layer devices (examine network layer headers)
 - switches are link layer devices
- routers maintain routing tables, implement routing algorithms - not plug and play, but more sophisticated
- switches maintain switch tables, implement filtering, learning algorithms - plug and play, fast



Link Layer

- 5.1 Introduction and services
- 5.2 Error detection and correction
- 5.3 Multiple access protocols
- 5.4 Link-Layer
 Addressing
- o 5.5 Ethernet

- 5.6 Link-layer switches
- 5.7 PPP
- 5.8 Wireless links / Wi-Fi
- 5.9 Link Virtualization: ATM, MPLS



Point to Point Data Link Control

- one sender, one receiver, one link: easier than broadcast link:
 - no Media Access Control
 - $_{\circ}\,$ no need for explicit MAC addressing
 - ∘ e.g., dialup link, ISDN line
- popular point-to-point DLC protocol:
 - PPP (point-to-point protocol)



PPP Design Requirements [RFC 1557]

- packet framing: encapsulation of network-layer datagram in data link frame
 - carry network layer data of any network layer protocol (not just IP) at same time
 - $_{\circ}$ ability to demultiplex upwards
- bit transparency: must carry any bit pattern in the data field
- error detection (no correction)
- connection liveness: detect, signal link failure to network layer
- network layer address negotiation: endpoint can learn/configure each other's network address



PPP non-requirements


- no error correction/recovery
- no flow control
- $_{\odot}~$ out of order delivery OK
- no need to support multipoint links (e.g., polling)

Error recovery, flow control, data re-ordering all relegated to higher layers!



PPP Data Frame

- Flag: delimiter (framing)
- Address: does nothing (only one option)
- Control: does nothing; in the future possible multiple control fields
- Protocol: upper layer protocol to which frame delivered (eg, PPP-LCP, IP, IPCP, etc)





PPP Data Frame

- info: upper layer data being carried
- check: cyclic redundancy check for error detection

1	1	1	1 or 2	variable length	2 or 4	1
01111110	11111111	00000011	protocol	info	check	01111110
flag a	address	control				flag




Byte Stuffing

- "data transparency" requirement: data field must be allowed to include flag pattern <01111110>
 - Q: is received <01111110> data or flag?
 - o Solution: forbid higher layers to use pattern?
 - PPP should be transparent
- Sender: adds ("stuffs") extra < 01111110> byte after each < 01111110> data byte
- Receiver:
 - two 01111110 bytes in a row: discard first byte, continue data reception
 - single 01111110: flag byte



Byte Stuffing






Link Layer


- 2.1 Introduction and services
- 2.2 Error detection and correction
- 2.3 Multiple access protocols
- 2.4 Link-Layer
 Addressing
- o 2.5 Ethernet

- 2.6 Link-layer switches
- 2.7 PPP
- 2.8 Wireless links / Wi-Fi
- 2.9 Link Virtualization: ATM, MPLS



















Characteristics of selected wireless link











- ad hoc mode
 - □ no base stations
 - nodes can only transmit to other nodes within link coverage
 - nodes organize themselves into a network: route among themselves

Wireless network taxonomy

	single hop	multiple hops
infrastructure (e.g., APs)	host connects to base station (WiFi, WiMAX, cellular) which connects to larger Internet	host may have to relay through several wireless nodes to connect to larger Internet: mesh/sensor net
no infrastructure	no base station, no connection to larger Internet (Bluetooth, ad hoc nets)	no base station, no connection to larger Internet. May have to relay to reach other a given wireless node VANET



Wireless Link Characteristics (1)

Differences from wired link


- decreased signal strength: radio signal attenuates as it propagates through matter (path loss)
- interference from other sources: standardized wireless network frequencies (e.g., 2.4 GHz) shared by other devices (e.g., phone); devices (motors) interfere as well
- multipath propagation: radio signal reflects off objects ground, arriving ad destination at slightly different times

.... make communication across (even a point to point) wireless link much more "difficult"



Wireless Link Characteristics (2)

- SNR: signal-to-noise ratio
 - larger SNR easier to extract signal from noise (a "good thing")
- SNR versus BER (bit error rate) tradeoffs
 - given physical layer: increase power -> increase SNR->decrease BER
 - Problems?
 - given SNR: choose physical layer that meets BER requirement, giving highest throughput
 - SNR may change with mobility: dynamically adapt physical layer (modulation technique, rate)





IEEE 802.11 Wireless LAN


o 802.11b

- 2.4-5 GHz unlicensed spectrum
- up to 11 Mbps
- direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS) in physical layer
 - all hosts use same chipping code

- o 802.11a
 - 5-6 GHz range
 - up to 54 Mbps
- o 802.11g
 - 2.4-5 GHz range
 - up to 54 Mbps
- 802.11n/a/c: multiple antennae
 - 。 2.4-5 GHz range
 - o up to 200 Mbps
- all use CSMA/CA for multiple access
- all have base-station and ad-hoc network versions



802.11 LAN architecture




- wireless host communicates with base station
 - base station = access point (AP)
- Basic Service Set (BSS) (aka "cell") in infrastructure mode contains:
 - $_{\circ}$ wireless hosts
 - access point (AP): base station
 - o ad hoc mode: hosts only

802.11: Channels, association

- 802.11b: 2.4GHz-2.485GHz spectrum divided into 11 channels at different frequencies
 - AP admin chooses frequency for AP
 - interference possible: channel can be same as that chosen by neighboring AP!
- host: must associate with an AP
 - scans channels, listening for *beacon frames* containing AP's name (SSID) and MAC address
 - \circ selects AP to associate with
 - may perform authentication [Chapter 8]
 - will typically run DHCP to get IP address in AP's subnet



802.11: passive/active scanning



Passive Scanning:

- (1) beacon frames sent from APs
- (2) association Request frame sent: H1 to selected AP
- (3) association Response frame sent: selected AP to H1

Active Scanning:

BBS 1

CN

AP

(1) Probe Request frame broadcast from H1

H1

- (2) Probes response frame sent from APs
- (3) Association Request frame sent: H1 to selected AP
- (4) Association Response frame sent: selected AP to H1




BBS 2

AP 2


Wireless network characteristics

Multiple wireless senders and receivers create additional problems (beyond multiple access):



Hidden terminal problem

- B, A hear each other
- B, C hear each other
- □ A, C can not hear each other
- > means A, C unaware of their interference at B




Signal attenuation:


- **B**, A hear each other
- **B**, C hear each other
- A, C can not hear each other interfering at B



IEEE 802.11: multiple access

- avoid collisions: 2⁺ nodes transmitting at same time
- 802.11: CSMA sense before transmitting
 - o don't collide with ongoing transmission by other node
- 802.11: *no* collision detection!
 - difficult to receive (sense collisions) when transmitting due to weak received signals (fading)
 - o can't sense all collisions in any case: hidden terminal, fading
 - goal: avoid collisions: CSMA/C(ollision)A(voidance)







2: Data Link Layer 2-41

IEEE 802.11 MAC Protocol: CSMA/CA

802.11 sender

1 if sense channel idle for **DIFS** then transmit entire frame (no CD)

2 if sense channel busy then


start random backoff time timer counts down while channel idle transmit when timer expires if no ACK, increase random backoff interval,

repeat 2

802.11 receiver

- if frame received OK

return ACK after **SIFS** (ACK needed due to hidden terminal problem)






Avoiding collisions (more)

- *idea:* allow sender to "reserve" channel rather than random access of data frames: avoid collisions of long data frames
- sender first transmits *small* request-to-send (RTS) packets to BS using CSMA
 - RTSs may still collide with each other (but they're short)
- BS broadcasts clear-to-send CTS in response to RTS
- CTS heard by all nodes
 - $_{\circ}$ sender transmits data frame
 - o other stations defer transmissions

avoid data frame collisions completely using small reservation packets!




Collision Avoidance: RTS-CTS exchange






802.11 frame: addressing






802.11 frame: addressing






802.11 frame: more





802.11: mobility within same subnet

- H1 remains in same IP subnet: IP address can remain same
- switch: which AP is associated with H1?
 - self-learning (again): switch will see frame from H1 and "remember" which switch port can be used to reach H1






802.11: advanced capabilities

Rate Adaptation

 base station, mobile dynamically change transmission rate (physical layer modulation technique) as mobile moves, SNR varies





1. SNR decreases, BER increase as node moves away from base station

2. When BER becomes too high, switch to lower transmission rate but with lower BER




802.11: advanced capabilities

- Power Management
- node-to-AP: "I am going to sleep until next beacon frame"
 - AP knows not to transmit frames to this node
 - node wakes up before next beacon frame
- beacon frame: contains list of mobiles with AP-tomobile frames waiting to be sent
 - node will stay awake if AP-to-mobile frames to be sent; otherwise sleep again until next beacon frame



802.15: personal area network

- less than 10 m diameter
- replacement for cables (mouse, keyboard, headphones)
- ad hoc: no infrastructure
- master/slaves:
 - slaves request permission to send (to master)
 - master grants requests
- 802.15: evolved from Bluetooth specification
 - 2.4-2.5 GHz radio band
 - up to 721 kbps




802.16: WiMAX


- like 802.11 & cellular: base station model
 - transmissions to/from base station by hosts with omnidirectional antenna
 - base station-to-base station backhaul with point-to-point antenna
- o **unlike 802.11**:
 - range ~ 6 miles ("city rather than coffee shop")



。~14 Mbps



point-to-multipoint




Link Layer

- 2.1 Introduction and services
- 2.2 Error detection and correction
- 2.3 Multiple access protocols
- 2.4 Link-Layer
 Addressing
- o 2.5 Ethernet

- 2.6 Link-layer switches
- 2.7 PPP
- 2.8 Wireless links / Wi-Fi
- 2.9 Link Virtualization: ATM, MPLS



What is a link?



- Single wire
- o Switched, transparent infrastructure
- \circ Wireless
- PPP link



What are links?

- Layers above see whole networks as links/medium
- E.g., a switched infrastructure may be seen as a single link by layer 3 protocols

Abstraction!

- Also see: programming abstractions:
 - Example: interfaces in Java: they provide a functionality, but the programmer does not need to know how that functionality is realized internally
 - Here: datagrams can be forwarded from one host to the next, but the upper layer does not need to know how the forwarding is done internally



Virtualization of networks

Virtualization of resources: powerful abstraction in systems engineering:

- o computing examples: virtual memory, virtual devices
 - Virtual machines: e.g., in cloud computing
- layering of abstractions: don't sweat the details of the lower layer, only deal with lower layers abstractly




The Internet: virtualizing networks


1974: multiple unconnected nets ... differing in:

- o ARPAnet
- data-over-cable networks
- packet satellite network (Aloha)
- packet radio network

- o addressing conventions
- o packet formats
- o error recovery
- o routing



ARPAnet





"A Protocol for Packet Network Intercommunication", V. Cerf, R. Kahn, IEEE Transactions on Communications, May, 1974, pp. 637-648.

The Internet: virtualizing networks


0

Internetwork layer (IP):

 addressing: internetwork appears as single, uniform entity, despite underlying local network heterogeneity

Gateway:

- "embed internetwork packets in local packet format or extract them"
- route (at internetwork level) to next gateway





 \bigcirc

Cerf & Kahn's Internetwork Architecture

What is virtualized?

- two layers of addressing: internetwork and local network
- new layer (IP) makes everything homogeneous at internetwork layer
- underlying local network technology
 - o cable
 - o satellite
 - 56K telephone modem
 - today: ATM, MPLS
 - ... "invisible" at internetwork layer. Looks like a link layer technology to IP!



ATM and MPLS

- ATM, MPLS separate networks in their own right
 - different service models, addressing, routing from Internet
- viewed by Internet as logical link connecting IP routers
 - just like dialup link is really part of separate network (telephone network)
- ATM, MPLS: of technical interest in their own right




Asynchronous Transfer Mode: ATM

- 1990's standard for high-speed (155Mbps to 622 Mbps and higher) *Broadband Integrated Service Digital Network* architecture
- Goal: integrated, end-end transport of carry voice, video, data
 - meeting timing/QoS requirements of voice, video (versus Internet best-effort model)
 - "next generation" telephony: technical roots in telephone world
 - packet-switching (fixed length packets, called "cells") using virtual circuits




ATM architecture



- adaptation layer: only at edge of ATM network
 - data segmentation/reassembly
 - roughly analogous to Internet transport layer
- ATM layer: "network" layer
 - $_{\circ}$ cell switching, routing
 - physical layer

ATM: network or link layer?

- Vision: end-to-end transport: "ATM from desktop to desktop"
 - ATM *is* a network technology
- Reality: used to connect IP backbone routers
 - "IP over ATM"
 - ATM as switched link layer, connecting IP routers





ATM Layer: Virtual Circuits

• VC transport: cells carried on VC from source to dest

- o call setup, teardown for each call *before* data can flow
- each packet carries VC identifier (not destination ID)
- every switch on source-dest path maintain "state" for each passing connection
- link,switch resources (bandwidth, buffers) may be allocated to VC: to get circuit-like perf.
- Permanent VCs (PVCs)
 - long lasting connections
 - typically: "permanent" route between to IP routers
- Switched VCs (SVC):
 - dynamically set up on per-call basis




ATM VCs

- Advantages of ATM VC approach:
 - QoS performance guarantee for connection mapped to VC (bandwidth, delay, delay jitter)
- Drawbacks of ATM VC approach:
 - Inefficient support of datagram traffic
 - one PVC between each source/dest pair does not scale (N*2 connections needed)
 - SVC introduces call setup latency, processing overhead for short lived connections



ATM Layer: ATM cell

- 5-byte ATM cell header
- 48-byte payload
 - Why?: small payload -> short cell-creation delay for digitized voice
 - halfway between 32 and 64 (compromise!)



IP-Over-ATM


Classic IP only

- 3 "networks" (e.g., LAN segments)
- MAC (802.3) and IP addresses


Ethernet ANs. N-E-T

IP over ATM

- replace "network" (e.g., LAN segment) with ATM network
- ATM addresses, IP addresses



IP-Over-ATM






Datagram Journey in IP-over-ATM Network

- at Source Host:
 - IP layer maps between IP, ATM dest address (using ARP)
 - passes datagram to AAL
 - AAL encapsulates data, segments cells, passes to ATM layer
- ATM network: moves cell along VC to destination
- at Destination Host:
 - AAL reassembles cells into original datagram
 - $_{\circ}\,$ if CRC OK, datagram is passed to IP



Multiprotocol label switching (MPLS)

- initial goal: speed up IP forwarding by using fixed length label (instead of IP address) to do forwarding
 - borrowing ideas from Virtual Circuit (VC) approach
 - o but IP datagram still keeps IP address!






MPLS capable routers

- o a.k.a. label-switched router
- forwards packets to outgoing interface based only on label value (don't inspect IP address)
 - MPLS forwarding table distinct from IP forwarding tables
- signaling protocol needed to set up forwarding
 RSVP-TE (later in the lecture)
 - forwarding possible along paths that IP alone would not allow (e.g., source-specific routing) !!
 - use MPLS for traffic engineering
- must co-exist with IP-only routers



MPLS forwarding tables





Chapter 2: Summary

- principles behind data link layer services:
 - \circ error detection, correction
 - sharing a broadcast channel: multiple access
 - link layer addressing
- instantiation and implementation of various link layer technologies
 - switched LANS
 - **PPP**
 - Wireless links
 - virtualized networks as a link layer: ATM, MPLS

