# Paper Review by [YOUR\_NAME]

# 1. Paper Information

Paper Title:

Type of Paper:

☐ Full Paper (>8 pages, double ☐ Short Paper column, 16 pages single column)

#### 2. Summary of the Paper

[1 page for short paper, up to 2 pages for full paper]

## 3. Contributions of the Paper

(What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity, impact, and technical depth in the paper.)

[100-150 words]

#### 4. Strengths of the Paper

(What are the main reasons to assess this paper as high-quality? e.g. innovative ideas/technologies, paper presentation, detailed performance evaluation.)

[at least 2-3 strengths]

#### 5. Weaknesses of the Paper

(What are the most important reasons to assess this paper as low-quality? e.g. writing skill, technical errors, unrealistic assumptions, unanswered questions, limited measurements or evaluation)

[at least two weaknesses]

#### 6. Open Issues and Future Work

(What are the options for future work that you think are important? If you are asked to work on the problem investigated in this paper, what will you do differently?)

[try to find something ©]

## 7. Your Questions to the Authors

(What (technical) question would you ask the authors if you were in the audience of their talk?)

[at least one question]