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## How to Characterize Networks?

o How many neighbors does a node have?

- Degree distribution
o How far apart are nodes in the network?
- Distance (the shortest path)
- Network diameter
- Average path length
o How close a set of nodes connect with each other?
- Community
- Clustering coefficient


## Degree Distribution

o Degree distribution $P(k)$

- Probability that a randomly chosen nodes has degree k
- $N_{k}$ : number of nodes with degree $k$
- $P(k)=N_{k} / N$




## Path Length

o Distance: the number of edges along the shortest path connecting the nodes

- If two nodes are disconnected, the distance is infinite
o Diameter: the maximum distance between any pair of nodes in the graph
o Average path length:



## Clustering Coefficient

o Evaluate how the neighbors of a node are connected
o For node i with degree k, assume the number of edges between the neighbors of $i$ is $e$, the clustering coefficient of $i$ is

$$
C_{i}=\frac{e}{k(k-1) / 2}
$$

o Average clustering coefficient

$$
C=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} C_{i}
$$



## Key Network Properties

o Degree distribution: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})$
o Path length: h
o Clustering coefficient: C
o Example:

o $P(k)$ :

$$
\circ P(1)=\quad ; P(2)=\quad ; P(3)=\quad ; P(4)=\quad ; P(5)=.
$$

o h_max= ; h_avg= ;
o C(i): C(1)= ; C(3)= ; C_avg= .

## Complete Graph

o Degree distribution: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{N}-1$
o Path Length:
o Diameter: 1

- Average path length: 1
o Clustering coefficient
- $\mathrm{C}=1$
o Average clustering coefficient: 1



## Regular Lattice

o Degree distribution:
o Path length:

$$
P(k)= \begin{cases}1, & k=4 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

o Diameter:

$$
h_{\max }=\frac{N}{4}
$$

- Average: for node, its distance to other nodes are:
$1,1,2,2,3,3, \ldots, N / 4, N / 4$.
o So $_{h_{\text {avg }}}=\frac{2 \times(1+2+\cdots+N / 4)}{N / 2}=\frac{2 \frac{(1+N / 4) * N / 4}{2}}{N / 2}=1 / 2+\frac{N}{8}$
o Clustering coefficient $\quad C_{i}=\frac{e}{k(k-1) / 2}$
- $\mathrm{C}=2 * 3 /(4 * 3)=1 / 2$ for $\mathrm{N}>6$
o Summary: constant degree, constant clustering coefficient, but average path is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$


## Random Graph

o Degree distribution: Binomial distribution

$$
P(k)=\binom{n-1}{k} p^{k}(1-p)^{n-1-k}
$$

o Average path length:
$O(\log n)$
o Clustering coefficient:
$C=p=\bar{k} / n$

## Other Properties

o Strength of social ties
o Centrality

## Strength of Social Ties

o Homophily: people love those who are like themselves"similarity begets friendship"
o Strong ties: the stronger social connections-"friends"

- Weak ties: the weaker social connections-"acquaintances"
o The strength of weak ties [Granovetter 1973]
o The strength of a tie was measured by the number of times that individuals had interacted in a past year.
- strong = at least twice a week,
- medium= less than twice a week but more than once a year
- weak = once a year or less
- For whom had found their most recent job through a social contact,
- $16.7 \%$ through a strong tie
- 55.7 percent through a medium tie
- 27.6 percent through a weak tie
[Granovetter 1973] Granovetter, M. (1973) The Strength of Weak Ties, American Journal of Sociology, 78, 1360-1380.


## Tie Strength on Facebook [Marlow 2009]



Maintained Relationships
Active Network Sizes

## Centrality

o Measure how important a given node related to the overall network.
o Many different measures of centrality have been developed

- Degree - how connected a node is
- Closeness - how easily a node can reach other nodes
o Betweenness - how important a node is in terms of connecting other nodes
- Neighbors' characteristics - how important, central, or influential a node's neighbors are
o Degree Centrality: $d_{i}(g) /(n-1)$
o Closeness Centrality: $(n-1) / \sum_{j \neq i} \ell(i, j)$
- Where $\ell(i, j)$ is the length of shortest path between i and j
o Betweenness Centrality:
\# of shortest paths between k and j that i lies on
\# of shortest paths between $k$ and $j$

$$
C e_{i}^{B}(g)=\sum_{k \neq j: i \notin\{k, j\}} \frac{P_{i}(k j) / P(k j)}{(n-1)(n-2) / 2}
$$
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## Power-law Distribution

## Questions

- How many neighbors does a node have? (degree)
o How far apart are nodes in the network? (distance)
- How close a set of nodes connect with each other? (clustering coefficient)
o Popularity of nodes in social networks
- Imbalance
- $20 \%$ of web pages receives $80 \%$ visits
- Celebrities in Twitter have millions of fans
- A few rich people own a large amount of wealth
o Node degree distribution
- What fraction of all nodes have degree $k$ ? $P(k)=$ ?
- Normal distribution? - for random graph $P(k)=$ exponential function of $-k$


## Guess: Normal distribution

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}}
$$



## Real network: Power-law


o Phenomenon: popularity seems to exhibit extreme imbalances
o Observations:

- The fraction of telephone numbers that receive $k$ calls per day is roughly proportional to $1 / k^{\wedge} 2$;
- The fraction of books that are bought by k people is roughly proportional to $1 / \mathrm{k}^{\wedge} 3$;
- The fraction of scientific papers that receive k citations in total is roughly proportional to $1 / \mathrm{k}^{\wedge} 3$
- => The number of monthly downloads for each song at a large on-line music site is proportional to $1 / k^{\wedge}$ c for some constant c
o Power-law distribution
- Let $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{k})$ be the fraction of items have value k

$$
f(k)=z k^{-\alpha}
$$

where $\alpha$ and z are constants
o Taking logarithms of both sides

$$
\log f(k)=\log z-\alpha \log k
$$

o Testing for power-law distribution

- If we draw $k$ and $f(k)$ in "log-log" scale, it shows a straight line


## Node Degree of Websites



## Estimating power-Law Exponent

o Estimating $\alpha$ ? $\quad \log f(k)=\log z-\alpha \log k$
o Simple method: fit a line on log-log axis

$$
\min _{\alpha}(\log (y)-\alpha \log (x))^{2}
$$

o For power-law distribution $f(k)=z k^{-\alpha}$
o Estimating the normalizing constant

$$
P(x)=z x^{-\alpha}
$$

$$
z=?
$$

o According to definition

$$
P(x) \text { is a distribution: } \int P(x) d x=1
$$

o Thus, let

$$
1=\int_{x_{\min }}^{\infty} P(x) d x=z \int_{x_{m}}^{\infty} x^{-\alpha} d x
$$

o $z$ can be obtained by solving the above equation

$$
f(k)=z k^{-\alpha}
$$

- Power-law degree exponent is typically $2<\alpha<3$
- Web graph:
- $\alpha_{\text {in }}=2.1, \alpha_{\text {out }}=2.4$ [Broder et al. 00]
- Autonomous systems:
- $\alpha=2.4$ [Faloutsos ${ }^{3}$, 99]
- Actor-collaborations:
- $\alpha=2.3$ [Barabasi-Albert 00]
- Citations to papers:
- $\alpha \approx 3$ [Redner 98]
- Online social networks:
- $\alpha \approx 2$ [Leskovec et al. 07]




## Power-laws Are Everywhere

o Pareto, 1897 - Wealth distribution
o Lotka, Alfred J. 1926-The frequency of publications by authors in a given field
o Zipf 1940s -Word frequency
o Simon 1950s - City populations
o Holger Ebel 2002- Email Network
o Who-talks-to-whom network
o Number of friends in Facebook
o ...

## The Small-World Phenomenon

## Questions

o How many neighbors does a node have? (degree)
o How far apart are nodes in the network? (distance)
o How close a set of nodes connect with each other? (clustering coefficient)

## Six Degrees of Separation

o What is the typical shortest length between any two people in human society?

- Global measurement is impossible
- Sampling
o Experiment
o Milgram 1967
- Idea: ask randomly chosen "starter" individuals to try to forward a letter to a designated "target" person


## Milgram's Experiment [1967]

o Procedure

- The target person
- A stockbroker who worked in Boston and lived in Sharon, Massachusetts
- The starting person
- Randomly picked 300 people in Omaha, Nebraska and Wichita, Kansas
- The target's name, address, occupation, and some personal information are provided
- Rules: "If you do not know the target person on a personal basis, do not try to contact him directly. Instead, mail this folder ... to a personal acquaintance who is more likely than you to know the target person ... it must be someone you know on a first-name basis".
- The names of the person who forward the letter are attached
o How many steps did it take?
- 64 letters reached the target
- It took 6.2 steps on average
o Short paths exist! -- Six Degrees of Separation
o Similar results are verified in other social networks like actor network, email network, who-talks-to-whom network (MSN), Facebook...


## More Results

## o Microsoft MSN (2006): 6.6 hops

o Facebook(2011): 4.74 hops o Twitter (2010): 4.67 hops

## Degrees of Separation on Facebook


[Leskovec 2008] Jure Leskovec and Eric Horvitz. Worldwide buzz: Planetary-scale views on an instant-messaging network. In Proc. 17th International World Wide Web Conference, 2008.

5.28 hops

## 2011


4.74 hops
[Barnett 2012] Emma Barnett. "Facebook cuts six degree of seperation to four". Telegraph. Retrieved 7 May 2012.

[Cheng 2010] Alex Cheng. " Six Degrees of Separation, Twitter Style". http://www.sysomos.com/insidetwitter/sixdegrees/, 2010

## A Simple Explanation

- Suppose each person knows 100 other people on a first-name basis
- Step 1: reach 100 people
- Step 2: reach 100*100 people
-...
- Step 5: reach $100^{5}=10$ billion people
- Ref: the world population is 7.019 billion (Wiki, 2012)
- The numbers are growing by powers of 100
- But it is not true for real network!!!
- Triadic relationships are common
- Social network is highly clustered, not the kind of massively branching structure.



## Regular Network vs Small-World Network vs Random Network

- Regular network: high clustering, high diameter
- Random network: low clustering, low diameter
- Question
- Is there a network inbetween the regular network and random network, with high clustering coefficient and low average path length?
- Small-World network: high clustering, low diameter


## Small-world Network

o A small-world network is a type of mathematical graph in which most nodes are not neighbors of one another, but most nodes can be reached from every other by a small number of hops. [wiki]
o Properties: high clustering, low diameter

- Clustering efficient: much larger than random network
- Diameter: almost equal to random network
o Most of social networks are found to be smallworld network.


## Summary

| Properties | Regular Network | Random Network | Social Network |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Degree Distribution | Constant | Normal distribution |  | -law ution |
| Path Length (Diameter) | High | Low | Low | Smallworld |
| Clustering Coefficient | High | Low | High |  |

